Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) Template: Service Reviews/Service Changes

Title of spending review/service change/proposal	Stroke services Adult Social Care and Commissioning
Name of division/service	Adult Social Care and Commissioning
Name of lead officer completing this assessment	Ehsan Parvez
Date EIA assessment completed	28.02.2018
Decision maker	City Mayor
Date decision taken	

EIA sign off on completion:	Signature	Date
Lead officer	Ehsan Parvez	09/05/18
Equalities officer	Sukhi Biring	23/05/18
Divisional director		

Please ensure the following:

(a) That the document is understandable to a reader who has not read any other documents, and explains (on its own) how the Public Sector Equality Duty is met. This does not need to be lengthy, but must be complete.

EIA 290616 Page **1** of **15**

- (b) That available support information and data is identified and where it can be found. Also be clear about highlighting gaps in existing data or evidence that you hold, and how you have sought to address these knowledge gaps.
- (c) That the equality impacts are capable of aggregation with those of other EIAs to identify the cumulative impact of all service changes made by the council on different groups of people.

1. Setting the context

Describe the proposal, the reasons it is being made, and the intended change or outcome. Will current service users' needs continue to be met?

The stroke service is for older frail and disabled people suffering from stroke to provide for minimum of five hours a day, three days per week (not including transport journey time), maximises independence through practical support and access to advice, information and services. Service users' needs and wishes will be respected and responded to on an individual basis, and a programme of activities designed to stimulate and enhance the well-being of its service users are offered in order to promote to a maximum the level of independence by enhancing abilities and skills. The service is set up as a grant agreement so there is no legal obligation for monitoring.

Community participation - isolation will be reduced, service users will feel integrated and valued members of the community they live in, by being able to take part in a range of meaningful culturally appropriate activities and opportunities.

End the grant funding

- The service may close, resulting in the risk of social isolation for attendees
- If the service was not available, the Council could spend more on Direct Payments or other support, if any of those attending the service are assessed as eligible for Adult Social Care statutory support

EIA 290616 Page **2** of **15**

- As this service is a grant agreement we don't hold any information on service users, attempts have been made to gain consent from users but they have declined. The only Information we hold is that they are at an Older age and have a stroke condition.
- It's been difficult to identify the impact on those likely to be affected by the recommendation and their protected characteristics as we don't have information or/and consent on the users.

2. Equality implications/obligations

Which aims of the Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED) are likely be relevant to the proposal? In this question, consider both the current service and the proposed changes.

Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation

How does the proposal/service ensure that there is no barrier or disproportionate impact for anyone with a particular protected characteristic

Is this a relevant consideration? What issues could arise?

The service is provided for minimum of five hours a day, three days per week (not including transport journey time) not less than once a week normally 48 weeks per year excluding bank holidays unless otherwise specified, it includes appropriate transport, where this has been assessed as in need, and a programme of activities designed to stimulate and enhance the well-being of its service users in order to promote to a maximum the level of independence by enhancing abilities and skills. Most of the referrals are from self-referrals or health.

EIA 290616 Page **3** of **15**

Advance equality of opportunity between different groups How does the proposal/service ensure that its intended outcomes promote equality of opportunity for users? Identify inequalities faced by those with specific protected characteristic(s).	If the service is decommissioned, the current users will be able to explore other provision (Direct Payment) in the City. If any of the service users require support around their stroke condition they can access support from a GP. The proposal could have a negative impact on the following characteristic Age & Disability as the users are frail and have been accessing the service for years. The current benchmarking exercise identified that other local authorities use a direct payment or use CCG funding. The proposal is to de commission the service – if the users who access the service are eligible for services then a package of care, following assessment, would be organised. There could be some impact to service users as this is the only stroke specific service provision Leicester city has. Once the service is decommissioned they can access an ASC assessment and use a direct payment to find similar services. In relation to their health conditions they will contact a GP for medical support. The current service is more aligned with health outcomes so the GP will be able to signpost or managed any stroke medical conditions.
Foster good relations between different groups Does the service contribute to good relations or to broader community cohesion objectives? How does it achieve this aim?	The intention of the service is to be decommissioned. Existing customers can receive an ASC assessment and use a DP to access similar services across the city.
	The service may continue to operate without ASC funding if

the provider sources other funding streams, charitable
donations, or service users make a contribution.

3. Who is affected?

Outline who could be affected, and how they could be affected by the proposal/service change. Include current service users and those who could benefit from but do not currently access the service.

- The service target group are adults aged 18+ and frail user. The proposal is to de commission the service the service users who access the service may have eligible needs but this would have to be established through an ASC assessment; if so a package of care would be commissioned. All the current users have declined an assessment.
- People can be sign posted to other services across the city. In relation to their health conditions they will contact a GP for medical support.
- The service may close, resulting in the risk of social isolation for attendees.

4. Information used to inform the equality impact assessment

What **data**, **research**, **or trend analysis** have you used? Describe how you have got your information and what it tells you. Are there any gaps or limitations in the information you currently hold, and how you have sought to address this, e.g. proxy data, national trends, etc.

The Data for the service is limited due to being set up as a grant agreement and we do not require monitoring for a grant

EIA 290616 Page **5** of **15**

agreement.

Data request sent to Contracts and Assurance (CAAS) – No data received or collected via quarterly Monitoring

The service review concluded that:

- The total annual running cost of the Service is £14,000 per annum. ASC funds the service at a cost of £7,158 per annum via a grant agreement. The remainder of their funding for the service comes from donations received from a church.
- Referrals to the service are mainly self-referrals.
- The service uses volunteers to deliver the support.
- The service is required to stimulate and enhance the well-being of those attending and activities are intended to promote independence by enhancing abilities and skills. This service is more akin to a social club, which is not a service ASC would fund.
- Whilst, the service is valued by those attending, there is no evidence that it prevents people from needing long term ASC services.
- Of the 22 city service users, most have been using the service for several years:
- 1 service users 1 year
- 10 service users 5 years
- 11 service users 6-10 years
- Other local authorities have used direct payments to fund the service but they joined a lunch and stroke service together to save on funding.

EIA 290616 Page **6** of **15**

5. Consultation

What **consultation** have you undertaken about the proposal with current service users, potential users and other stakeholders? What did they say about:

- What is important to them regarding the current service?
- How does (or could) the service meet their needs?
- How will they be affected by the proposal? What potential impacts did they identify because of their protected characteristic(s)?
- Did they identify any potential barriers they may face in accessing services/other opportunities that meet their needs?
- The commissioner has met the provider to gain a picture on the current service. The provider considers that service users appear to have eligible needs for ASC support, but we cannot confirm this unless they give consent to have an assessment.
- 11 service users were met with, all 11 felt that they could not manage their needs independently without support from the service. In addition, they get specialist support from the GP for their stroke condition.
- Meetings have taken place with the provider to talk about a contingency plan to explore other funding streams such as Direct payment, other charitable contributions, service user contributions, reducing costs, increasing use of volunteers.

6. Potential equality Impact

EIA 290616 Page **7** of **15**

Based on your understanding of the service area, any specific evidence you may have on service users and potential service users, and the findings of any consultation you have undertaken, use the table below to explain which individuals or community groups are likely to be affected by the proposal <u>because of their protected characteristic(s)</u>. Describe what the impact is likely to be how significant that impact is for individual or group well-being, and what mitigating actions can be taken to reduce or remove negative impacts.

Looking at potential impacts from a different perspective, this section also asks you to consider whether any other particular groups, especially <u>vulnerable groups</u>, are likely to be affected by the proposal. List the relevant that may be affected, along with their likely impact, potential risks and mitigating actions that would reduce or remove any negative impacts. These groups do not have to be defined by their protected characteristic(s).

Protected characteristics	Impact of proposal: Describe the likely impact of the proposal on people because of their protected characteristic and how they may be affected. Why is this protected characteristic relevant to the proposal? How does the protected characteristic determine/shape the potential impact of the proposal?	Risk of negative impact: How likely it that people with this protected characteristic is will be negatively affected? How great will that impact be on their well-being? What will determine who will be negatively affected?	Mitigating actions: For negative impacts, what mitigating actions can be taken to reduce or remove this impact? These should be included in the action plan at the end of this EIA.
Age ¹	18 +Older Frail & Disabled people suffering from a stroke.	If the provider is unable to fund this service from other sources, current	The provider is currently working with existing users to gain consent so they can receive a ASC
	Most of the users have been accessing the service for over 5	service users may need to look for alternative	assessment & explore other provisions.

¹ Age: Indicate which age group is most affected, either specify general age group - children, young people working age people or older people or specific age bands

EIA 290616 Page **8** of **15**

Disability ²	years and will require support to find alternative provision; The users will require support to access services for older people. But will continue to get support from the GP for their stroke condition Stroke classifies as a disability, A stroke is a serious life-threatening medical condition that occurs when the blood supply to part of the brain is cut off. Service users will continue to get support from their GP around their stroke condition	provision. The risk of social isolation for service users will increase, There is the risk of negative publicity from the Provider and/or current service users who value the support which the service provides. High number of older Service users Users will need to inform GP's of closure of service so they can ensure they have sufficient time to explore other provisions. GP,s to refer current users who are currently declining ASC	 We ensure that as part of the consultation we provide adequate signposting to other services i.e. Age UK & Direct payments. can use their direct payment to fund other provision Ensure the current provider works with current users to make contact with GP,s for support around stroke condition
		support	
Gender Reassignment ³	Don't know as unsure how this is recorded on Liquid Logic or the Monitoring data	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Marriage and	Don't know as unsure how this is	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

² Disability: if specific impairments are affected by the proposal, specify which these are. Our standard categories are on our equality monitoring form – physical impairment, sensory impairment, mental health condition, learning disability, long standing illness or health condition.

EIA 290616 Page **9** of **15**

³ Gender reassignment: indicate whether the proposal has potential impact on trans men or trans women, and if so, which group is affected.

Civil Partnership	recorded on Liquid Logic or the Monitoring data		
Pregnancy and Maternity	Don't know as unsure how this is recorded on Liquid Logic or the Monitoring data	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Race ⁴	Don't know as unsure how this is recorded on Liquid Logic or the Monitoring data	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Religion or Belief 5	Don't know as unsure how this is recorded on Liquid Logic or the Monitoring data	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Sex ⁶	The gender split is 45% male and 55% female.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Sexual Orientation ⁷	Don't know as unsure how this is recorded on LL or the Monitoring data	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

Summarise why the protected characteristics you have commented on, are relevant to the proposal?

The service is a grant agreement which support users who have a stroke condition, the decommissioning of the service will have

EIA 290616 Page **10** of **15**

⁴ Race: given the city's racial diversity it is useful that we collect information on which racial groups are affected by the proposal. Our equalities monitoring form follows ONS general census categories and uses broad categories in the first instance with the opportunity to identify more specific racial groups such as Gypsies/Travellers. Use the most relevant classification for the proposal.

⁵ Religion or Belief: If specific religious or faith groups are affected by the proposal, our equalities monitoring form sets out categories reflective of the city's population. Given the diversity of the city there is always scope to include any group that is not listed.

⁶ Sex: Indicate whether this has potential impact on either males or females

⁷ Sexual Orientation: It is important to remember when considering the potential impact of the proposal on LGBT communities, that they are each separate communities with differing needs. Lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender people should be considered separately and not as one group. The gender reassignment category above considers the needs of trans men and trans women.

a negative impact on current users if alternative provisions are not found. The current provider is exploring alternative provision such as a direct payment. The key protected characteristics which would be affected by decommissioning this service are based on the intelligence that has been gathered through the process of completing an in-depth service review for this service. This has been done simultaneously with this EIA. The characteristics most at risk of being negatively affected are: age and disability. We know from intelligence and research that there are groups such as AGE UK who can support individuals to find alternative support or/and signpost them to other services.

Summarise why the protected characteristics you have not commented on, are not relevant to the proposal?

No direct impact identified in relation to the protected characteristic of marriage or civil partnership, Gender Reassignment, Marriage and Civil Partnership, Pregnancy and Maternity, Race, Sexual Orientation.

As the service is set up as a grant agreement we don't hold information on all the characteristics from our monitoring data and annual report. The current users have no given consent to collect any further information. However the service is currently supporting individuals to find alternative support or gain consent for an ASC assessment to check eligibility then users can be signposted. Other protected characteristics would not be adversely impacted by the decommissioning of this service either because they are not relevant to the proposal.

Other groups	Impact of proposal: Describe the likely impact of the proposal on children in poverty or any other people who we consider to be vulnerable. List any vulnerable groups likely to be affected. Will their needs continue to be met? What issues will affect their take up of services/other opportunities that meet their needs/address inequalities they face?	Risk of negative impact: How likely is it that this group of people will be negatively affected? How great will that impact be on their well-being? What will determine who will be negatively affected?	Mitigating actions: For negative impacts, what mitigating actions can be taken to reduce or remove this impact for this vulnerable group of people? These should be included in the action plan at the end of this EIA.
Children in	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable

EIA 290616 Page **11** of **15**

poverty			
Other vulnerable groups	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
Other (describe)	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable

7. Other sources of potential negative impacts

Are there any other potential negative impacts external to the service that could further disadvantage service users over the next three years that should be considered? For example, these could include: other proposed changes to council services that would affect the same group of service users; Government policies or proposed changes to current provision by public agencies (such as new benefit arrangements) that would negatively affect residents; external economic impacts such as an economic downturn.

- If the provider is unable to fund this service from other sources, current service users may need to look for alternative provision.
- The risk of social isolation for service users will increase, if the service closes.
- There is the risk of negative publicity from the Provider and/or current service users who value the support which the service provides.

8. Human Rights Implications

Are there any human rights implications which need to be considered (please see the list at the end of the template), if so please complete the Human Rights Template and list the main implications below:

There are no human rights implication that will impact on the service or service users.

9. Monitoring Impact

You will need to ensure that monitoring systems are established to check for impact on the protected characteristics and human rights after the decision has been implemented. Describe the systems which are set up to:

- monitor impact (positive and negative, intended and unintended) for different groups
- monitor barriers for different groups
- enable open feedback and suggestions from different communities

EIA 290616 Page **12** of **15**

• ensure that the EIA action plan (below) is delivered.

The current arrangement is a grant agreement so the current monitoring is poor and does not gather information on users protected characteristics except they are older frail users who have a stroke condition, as we are looking to decommission the service there will be an action plan that the provider will follow to ensure all users are supported through the decommissioning of the service.

10. EIA action plan

Please list all the equality objectives, actions and targets that result from this Assessment (continue on separate sheets as necessary). These now need to be included in the relevant service plan for mainstreaming and performance management purposes.

Equality Outcome	Action	Officer Responsible	Completion date
Frail older users are supported to access appropriate help and support	Provide information, advice and guidance to enable the provider to develop alternative sources of funding users and the service provider are aware of the alternative support available for those who need stroke support services	Ehsan Parvez ASC Leadership Team Decision Report	October 2018
Frail older users are supported to access appropriate help and support	Meet with provider / service users to explore options of alternative services such as Age UK in order to ensure all users are signposted to relevant services once the service ends. Require clear communication	Ehsan Parvez ASC Leadership Team Decision Report	Once notice is given

EIA 290616 Page **13** of **15**

	from provider to support this.		
Frail older users are supported to access appropriate help and support	Decommissioning plan with provider to require provider to ensure that all users to contact their GP for advice and/or support around Stroke Health condition.	Ehsan Parvez ASC Leadership Team Decision Report	Once notice is given

EIA 290616 Page **14** of **15**

Human Rights Articles:

Part 1: The Convention Rights and Freedoms

Article 2: Right to Life

Article 3: Right not to be tortured or treated in an inhuman or degrading way

Article 4: Right not to be subjected to slavery/forced labour

Article 5: Right to liberty and security

Article 6: Right to a fair trial

Article 7: No punishment without law

Article 8: Right to respect for private and family life

Article 9: Right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion

Article 10: Right to freedom of expression

Article 11: Right to freedom of assembly and association

Article 12: Right to marry

Article 14: Right not to be discriminated against

Part 2: First Protocol

Article 1: Protection of property/peaceful enjoyment

Article 2: Right to education

Article 3: Right to free elections

EIA 290616 Page **15** of **15**